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#### Abstract

Bangladesh is one of the potential hotspots for the diversity of jackfruit tree (Artocarpus heterophyllus), which is widely grown in almost every homestead. Jackfruit is a multipurpose tree, the fruits are an important source of nutrients; it also provides good timber, and possesses pharmacological properties which are widely used in medicine to treat a range of ailments. Despite this importance, the rich local genetic resource has been degrading due to high anthropogenic pressure before being fully documented and utilized for potential crop improvement. The present study was aimed to collect superior accessions from the major jackfruit growing areas of Bangladesh through in-situ evaluation and document them based on morphological (qualitative and quantitative) data in order to conserve the best local races. Twenty-eight jackfruit accessions were collected during 2015-2016. The data on 26 important quantitative and 36 qualitative characters were analyzed following the IPGR, 2000 procedure. Results of correlation coefficient of quantitative features demonstrated that out of 325 coefficients; 136 and 53 were significant at $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ and $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ levels, respectively, and others (136) were found nonsignificant. Hierarchal cluster analysis grouped both accessions and variables into four clusters and heat-map analysis indicated that wide range of variations exists among the accessions as well as variables levels. Wide range of variations were found in most important features like fruiting season, fruit-bearing, shape, rind color and surface, flake-shape and texture, pulp-taste, flavor, juiciness, and color, vivipary seed coat adherence to kernel. Genetic diversity was found to be higher in the studied jackfruit accessions which indicates scope for tree breeding planning.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Jackfruit (Artocarpus heterophyllus Lam., family Moraceae) is a tropical evergreen tree, producing largest edible fruit (Naik, 1949; Sturrock, 1959; Simmonds and Preedy, 2016). The place of origin of this fruit tree is still unclear, it is stated to be indigenous to the rain forests of the Western Ghats of India (APAARI, 2012). It is extensively cultivated throughout the tropical lowlands in South and Southeast Asia, parts of Central and Eastern Africa, and Brazil (Morton, 1987; Rahman et al., 1999; APAARI, 2012). Bangladesh is one of the countries with high jackfruit production in the world, along with China, India, Indonesia, Malaysia, Myanmar, Philippines, Sri Lanka, Thailand and Vietnam. It is the national fruit of Bangladesh and is consumed by all classes of rural people. In Bangaldesh, its demand is increasing gradually due to its use as a dessert fruit and several value added products are being prepared from it which has market with in the country and other Southeast Asian countris (APAARI, 2012).
Jackfruit is popularly referred to as a "nutritional jackpot", as the ripe fruit flakes provide significant amount of potassium, calcium and carbohydrates
(Sammadar, 1985; Shyamalamma et al., 2008). The fruit is also rich in pectin, carotene, ascorbic acid, lignins, isoflavones, saponins as well as substantial amount of fiber, besides being a good source of vitamin A, B, C, iron, proteins and minerals (Chanda et al., 2009; Simmonds and Preedy, 2016). All of these properties make jackfruit a balanced source of nutrition, which improves health and strengthens the disease fighting capacity of the human body (Soobrattee et al., 2005; Simmonds and Preedy, 2016). Seeds of jackfruit are also very nutritious and eaten in different forms, boiled, roasted or as flour.
Jackfruit tree wood is highly durable, resistant to termites and decay and possesses an orange or redbrown color (Elevitch and Manner, 2006). An orangered dye is obtained from the wood chips, which is used extensively to color the robes of Buddhist priests (Craig and Harley, 2006). Its leaves and fruit wastes are used as fodder for livestock, mainly cattle, pigs and goats. Bark, roots, leaves and fruits have pharmaceutical properties (Hakim et al., 2005; Arung et al., 2006).
In Bangladesh, jackfruit is popularly alluded as Kathal. It is their national fruit, and is commonly referred to as "poor man's food", due to its relatively
low market price as well as abundant availability (Rahman et al., 1995). It is widely distributed throughout the country and is particulary abundant in the Central Terrace Ecosystem (Miah et al., 2018). Jackfruit accounts for about $21 \%$ of total fruit production of the country and is next only to mango (Mangifera indica). During 2016-17, Bangladesh produced $1,050,000$ tons of jackfruit covering about 14000 ha area with a yield of $2596 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ (Yearbook of Agricultural Statistics, 2017). These qualities make jackfruit as one of the desirable tree species for fruit-based agroforestry systems in Asia. Some of the reported successful agroforestry systems are agrisilviculture and intercropping with fruit crops in Bangladesh (Hasan et al., 2008), India (Kumar et al., 1998; Shastri et al., 2002), Sri Lanka (Perera and Rajapakse, 1991), Malaysia (Acedo, 1992), homestead and homegardens in tropics (Haq, 2003; Issac and Nair, 2006; Pandey et al., 2006), as a shade and support tree for spices (Kumar et al., 1998; Salem et al., 1991; George, 2004) and as a component of silvipastoral system (Das et al., 2002; Das and Ghosh, 2001; Dey et al., 2006). The high nutritive value and palatability of leaves make it an ideal fodder tree (Das and Ghosh, 2001).
Despite these numerous advantages, jackfruit tree is not commercially grown as a crop because of high variability in fruit quality, mainly because of the crosspollinated nature of the species and the dependence on seed propagation (APAARI, 2012). Genetic improvement of existing germplasm to overcome these problems, may expand the potential of jackfruit cultivation on commercial lines.
Given the large variability of genetic resources and the possibility of its losses (Haq, 2002), Bangladesh is one of the hotspots of jackfruit diversity. This wide range of tree diversity needs to be identified, documented and conserved on-farm for long term sustainable uses (Haque et al., 2004; Sarker and Zuberi, 2012). Climatic menaces like flooding and anthropogenic activities such as felling of mature trees for construction purpose together with the high anthropogenic pressures have decreased the genetic diversity of jackfruit in the country. Therefore, the present study was aimed to collect the superior accessions from the major jackfruit growing areas of Bangladesh and document the on-farm jackfruit diversity, based on morphological features. Such an information and analysis would be useful for genetic improvement of the species.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Plant material selection and collection

Preliminary, three major jackfruit growing areas, namely Gazipur, Narsingdi and Bandarban districts/regions were selected. Then members of the research team physically visited major jackfruit gardens and talked to the farmers about the good accessions based on their experiences. At the same time, observations on 36 qualitative characters viz., propagating material, tree vigor, trunk surface, crown shape/habit, tree growth habit, tree nature, branching density, branching pattern, leaflet/leaf blade shape, leaflet apex, leaf base shape, leaf color, fruiting season, extent of fruit drop, fruit bearing habit, fruit bearing, fruit bearing position, fruit shape, stalk attachment to fruit, fruit rind color, fruit surface, shape of spine, spine density, flake shape, flake texture, pulp taste, pulp flavor, pulp juiciness, pulp (fresh flake) color, vivipary, shape of seed, seed surface pattern, seed coat color, adherence of seed coat to kernel, disease infestation, pest infestation for each accession was recorded. Afterwards, based on morphological characterizations using the descriptors provided by the International Plant Genetic Resource Institute (IPGRI, 2000), 30, 25 and 10 accessions of jackfruit from Gazipur, Narsingdi and Bandarban districts, respectively, were collected during 2015-2016. At the time of collection, geographical location of the accession were recorded (Table 1). From these collected accessions, finally, 28 accessions from three districts were selected based on a number of criteria, like fruiting seasons, fruit colour, fruit shape, fruit number, fruit weight, flake shape, flake texture and brix value. Selected accessions were planted at the agroforestry research field of the Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University ( $24^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ; 90^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) in the month of August, 2016 for conservation purpose. The size of the pit was $1 \mathrm{~m} \times 1 \mathrm{~m} \times 1 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~L} \times \mathrm{W} \times \mathrm{H})$. Each accession was replicated three times by maintaining $4 \mathrm{~m} \times 4 \mathrm{~m}$ distance from the plant to plant in order to grow lower storey crops as an agroforestry system. The soils of the pit were prepared by mixing cowdung, sand and soil at the ratio of 1:0.5:2 (in weight basis). Furthermore, nitrogen, triple superphosphate and muriate of potash ( 250 g in each case) were applied to the soils in each pit and left for fifteen days. The plants after planting were maintained through intercultural operations like fertilizer and pesticide applications, manuring, irrigation and weeding as and
when necessary. The observations on survival and growth characteristics of the plants were recorded periodically. Jackfruit shows a considerable range of variation in morpho-agronomic traits, therefore, to understand the extent of genetic diversity for morphological characters and to select superior types of jackfruit, the traits such as growth habit, canopy structure, leaf size, fruit shape, size, colour, fruit bearing (age and seasonality), maturity and other parameters were studied.

Table 1. List of jackfruit accessions collected from major jackfruit growing areas of Bangladesh for morpho-physiological characterization with their geographical location.

| Accession code number | Latitude | Longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MO1 | $24^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 35.6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $90^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 45.7^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$ |
| MO2 | $24^{\circ} 16^{\prime 2} 29.1^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $90^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 31.6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$ |
| MO3 | $24^{\circ} 03^{\prime 2} 29.8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $90^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 33.4{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$ |
| MO4 | $24^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 23.9{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $90^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 30.5{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$ |
| MO5 | $24^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 34.9^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $90^{\circ} 50^{\prime 16.7 \prime \prime} \mathrm{~S}$ |
| MO6 | $24^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 48.7^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | 9049'9.7's |
| MO7 | $24^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 39.9{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $90^{\circ} 24^{\prime \prime} 47.2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$ |
| MO8 | $24^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 44.4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $90^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 41.8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$ |
| MO9 | $24^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 48.1^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $90^{\circ} 49^{\prime 1} 1.0^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$ |
| MO10 | $24^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 44.9^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $90^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 44.8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$ |
| MO11 | $24^{\circ} 16^{\prime 2} 28.6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $90^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 31.0^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$ |
| MO12 | $24^{\circ} 16^{\prime 2} 21.9^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $90^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 34.3^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$ |
| MO13 | $24^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 25.3^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $90^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 30.3^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$ |
| MO14 | $24^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 35.0^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | 9050'20.1' S |
| MO15 | $24^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 25.7^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $90^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 40.1^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$ |
| MO16 | $24^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 44.6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $90^{\circ} 24^{\prime \prime} 43.5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$ |
| MO17 | $24^{\circ} 16^{\prime 2} 29.9^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $90^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 45.7^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$ |
| MO18 | $24^{\circ} 03^{\prime \prime} 15.8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $90^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 30.5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$ |
| MO19 | $24^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 25.8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $90^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 45.7^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$ |
| MO20 | $24^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 25.3^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $90^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 50.2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$ |
| MO21 | $24^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 45.8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $90^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 59.4 \prime \mathrm{~S}$ |
| MO22 | $24^{\circ} 16^{\prime 2} 2.8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $90^{\circ} 24^{\prime \prime} 49.9^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$ |
| MO23 | $22^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 38.1^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $92^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 42.1^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$ |
| MO24 | $22^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 06.9^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $92^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 49.4{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$ |
| MO25 | $22^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 12.9^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $92^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 39.4{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$ |
| MO26 | $22^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 53.5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $92^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 11.8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$ |
| MO27 | $22^{\circ} 07^{\prime 3} 39.6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $92^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 42.2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$ |
| MO28 | 22007'27.1 ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $92^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 31.4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$ |

## Statistical analysis

Analysis of genetic variation of the accessions was performed with the program SPSS (version 23.0) following the procedure described by Al-Hadi et al. (2017). Pearsons's coefficient was utilized to estimate the degree of correlation among the different characters of the trees. Coefficients higher than 0.5 were considered as linear associations representing natural variation patterns and to gain broad impressions, the extent of correlation was classified as strongly correlated ( $r=\geq 0.5$ ) and weakly to moderately correlated ( $r=0.5$ ). Heatmap was generated after normalizing the mean values by using MeV version 4.9 .0 (http://mev.tm4.org/). A heatmap is a graphical representation of data where the individual values contained in a matrix are represented as colors. The heatmap and hierarchical clustering were performed to understand the accessions-variable relationship in the 28 jackfruit accessions. Quantitative values of 26 morpho-physiological features of these accessions were normalized for clustering. This was followed by performing the hierarchical clustering using the Spearman's rank correlation algorithm.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study on morpho-agronomic traits in jackfruit shows a considerable range of variation. The correlation coefficient among the plant characters showed that of the 325 coefficients, 53 were highly significant at $\mathrm{P}<0.01,136$ were significant at $\mathrm{P}<0.05$, while 136 were insignificant (Table 2). Among morphological characters, the highest, strong and significantly positive correlation corresponded to trunk girth with North-South and East-West tree spreading. Positive significant correlation was also found for age and tree height with trunk girth, East-West tree spreading, and North-South tree spreading. However, stalk length and diameter showed negative correlation with almost all morphological characters, except trunk height and number of days from flowering to maturity.
Correlation coefficient of 26 plant characters of 28 jackfruit accessions collected from major jackfruit growing areas of Bangladesh as presented in Table 2 clearly indicated that fruit weight of jackfruit was strongly interconnected with fruit length, fruit diameter, rachis length and yield per tree. Also, yield per tree was significantly influenced by fruit weight, fruit rind weight and rachis length. Similarly, number of fruits per tree was strong and positively correlated with tree age, tree height, trunk height and tree spreading at North-South and East-West directions as well as
Table 2. Correlation coefficient of 26 plant characters of 28 jackfruit accessions collected from major jackfruit growing areas of Bangladesh.

|  | Age ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | TH | Trh | Trg | Trsew | Trsns | NDFM | sL | sD | FL | FD | FW | FRW | FRT | NFPKF | WFFWS | WFFWouts | FBV | RL | RD | NSPKF | sWidth | SL | 100 SW | YPT | NFPT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TH | .717** | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trh | -0.12 | -0.04 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trg | . $842^{\text {** }}$ | .666** | -0.23 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trsew | . $803{ }^{\text {** }}$ | .607** | -0.20 | .844** | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trsns | . 755 ** | . 537 ** | -0.17 | . $866{ }^{* *}$ | . $843{ }^{* *}$ | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NDFM | -0.11 | -0.07 | 0.31 | -0.14 | 0.04 | -0.09 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SL | -0.09 | -0.04 | 0.07 | -0.27 | -0.21 | -.441* | 0.37 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD | -0.22 | -0.13 | 0.09 | $-.547^{* *}$ | *-434* | -479** | 0.12 | . $440 *$ | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FL | 0.17 | 0.20 | -0.37 | 0.17 | -0.01 | 0.08 | -0.06 | 0.17 | . $441{ }^{*}$ | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FD | 0.23 | 0.09 | -0.24 | 0.32 | 0.18 | 0.17 | -0.05 | 0.00 | -0.04 | .416* | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FW | 0.36 | 0.15 | -0.11 | 0.36 | 0.14 | 0.13 | -0.18 | 0.04 | -0.01 | . $625^{* *}$ | . $503{ }^{\text {** }}$ | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FRW | .453* | 0.25 | -0.09 | .561** | 0.35 | .395* | -0.20 | -0.19 | -0.27 | .519** | .410* | . 903 ** | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FRT | -0.06 | -0.13 | 0.17 | -0.09 | 0.17 | 0.10 | .411* | -0.20 | 0.02 | -0.19 | -0.20 | -0.19 | -0.03 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NFPKF | -.401* | -0.19 | 0.05 | $-0.34$ | -0.34 | -0.29 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.32 | 0.15 | -0.09 | -0.13 | -0.18 | 0.04 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WFFWS | -0.13 | -0.21 | 0.18 | -.445* | -0.31 | -.392* | 0.20 | 0.25 | .603** | -0.04 | -0.37 | -0.13 | -0.34 | 0.12 | -0.20 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WFFWoutS | -0.16 | -0.24 | 0.17 | -.475* | -0.35 | -.424* | 0.21 | 0.26 | .639** | -0.01 | -0.33 | -0.12 | -0.35 | 0.13 | -0.17 | .997** | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FBV | 0.10 | 0.27 | -0.18 | -0.10 | 0.08 | -0.05 | -0.04 | 0.04 | . 410 * | 0.07 | -.379* | -0.29 | -0.35 | 0.00 | .422* | 0.25 | 0.25 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RL | 0.30 | 0.27 | -0.34 | .407* | 0.10 | 0.28 | -0.20 | -0.06 | 0.11 | .888** | .385* | .654** | .693** | -0.23 | 0.11 | -0.29 | -0.26 | -0.09 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RD | -0.18 | -0.09 | 0.02 | -.469* | -0.35 | -.424* | 0.08 | 0.34 | .869** | .403* | 0.07 | 0.05 | -0.25 | 0.03 | 0.23 | . 556 ** | . 586 ** | . $454 *$ | 0.02 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NSPKF | -0.11 | 0.14 | -0.06 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.05 | -0.33 | -.394* | 0.14 | 0.31 | 0.16 | 0.25 | -0.11 | 0.20 | -.578** | -.549** | -0.20 | 0.32 | $-0.36$ | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Swidth | 0.07 | -0.01 | 0.04 | -0.23 | -0.06 | -0.19 | 0.08 | 0.14 | .509** | 0.01 | -.386* | -0.07 | -0.19 | 0.32 | -0.21 | .872** | . $858{ }^{* *}$ | 0.33 | -0.20 | . $517 *$ | -.646** | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| SL | 0.13 | -0.15 | -0.17 | 0.02 | -0.06 | -0.02 | -0.12 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.14 | 0.22 | 0.27 | 0.11 | -0.20 | -0.19 | 0.30 | 0.30 | -0.12 | -0.03 | 0.20 | -.445* | 0.26 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| 100 SW | 0.02 | -0.15 | 0.21 | -0.36 | -0.14 | -0.25 | 0.09 | 0.34 | .551** | -0.18 | -.387* | -0.20 | -0.37 | 0.13 | -0.23 | .830** | . $805{ }^{* *}$ | 0.29 | -.431* | .526** | -.870** | .799** | .399* | 1.00 |  |  |
| YPT | . $703{ }^{\text {** }}$ | .529** | -0.05 | .727** | * 561 ** | .599** | -0.19 | -0.18 | -0.13 | .391* | 0.36 | .638** | .730** | -0.03 | $-0.06$ | -0.23 | -0.25 | -0.01 | .531** | -0.12 | 0.08 | -0.06 | 0.13 | -0.19 | 1.00 |  |
| NFPT | . $653{ }^{\text {** }}$ | . $576{ }^{* *}$ | -0.12 | . $717^{* *}$ | . $727^{* *}$ | . $746^{* *}$ | -0.21 | -0.25 | -0.27 | 0.02 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.26 | 0.07 | -0.03 | -0.31 | -0.33 | 0.12 | 0.14 | -0.20 | 0.04 | -0.12 | -0.06 | -0.19 | .744** | 1.00 |

${ }^{5}$ Age; TH: tree height $(m)$; Trin: trunk height ( $m$ ); Trg: trunk girth ( $m$ ); Trsew: tree spread (east-west); Trsns: tree spread (north-south); NDFM: number of days from flowering to maturity; SI: stalk length (cm); SD: stalk diameter
 seed weight (g); YPT: yield per tree (kg per tree); NFPT: number of fruits per tree.
yield per tree. Another desired trait, number of flakes per kg of fruit was moderately positively correlated with fruit brix value and moderately negatively associated with age of tree. Fresh flakes weight without seed, which is an important trait was found to be having a strong positive association with stalk diameter, weight of fresh flakes with seed, rachis diameter, seed width and 100 seed weight, while strong negative correlation was recorded in number of seeds per kg of fruit.

## Clustering of morpho-physiological features

The heatmap and hierarchical clustering at the accessions level revealed four distinct hierarchical clusters and at variable levels, four hierarchical clusters.
In respect of accessions, cluster A represents 10 accessions viz., MO4, MO13, MO1, MO6, MO5, MO8, MO17, MO12, MO14 and MO18, while cluster B denotes 5 accessions viz., MO9, MO19, MO3, MO7 and MO21 (Table 4). On the other hand, 5 accessionsMO16, MO2, MO11, MO20 and MO22 were grouped into cluster C, while cluster D represents 8 accessions- MO10, MO15, MO23, MO26, MO24, MO25, MO27 and MO28.
Out of 1 to 4 variables, cluster 1 like trunk height, days to flowering to maturity and fruit rind thickness were higher in the accessions MO10, MO18 and MO6, respectively, while lowest were recorded in MO20, MO7 and MO5, respectively. However, lowest fruit rind thickness was also recorded in MO5 and MO7 accessions.
Cluster 2 represents the variables of brix value, stalk diameter, rachis diameter, stalk length, seed length, fresh flake weight- with and without seed, seed width and 100 seed weight. These variables are prominent in 9 accessions viz., MO1, MO27, MO27, MO16, MO16, MO24, MO26, MO26 and MO26, but dull in 9 accessions viz., MO2, MO18, MO28, MO6, MO13, MO1, MO1, MO11 and MO16. Variables in cluster 3 indicates number of flakes and seeds per kg of fruit, which showed elevated value in MO1 and MO11, respectively, while the lowest gradient was recorded in MO3 and MO26, respectively. Cluster 4 epitomizes the maximum characters (12) like fruit diameter, fruit weight, fruit rind weight, fruit length, rachis length, tree height, age, tree spreading in both North-South and East-West direction, trunk girth, number of fruits and yield per tree. These features showed highest prominence in the accessions- $\mathrm{MO} 17, \mathrm{MO}, \mathrm{MO} 8$, MO8, MO8, MO12, MO12, MO12, MO12, MO12, MO24 and MO8, while lowest was found in the
accessions- MO24, MO28, MO28, MO24, MO24, MO15, MO15, MO16, MO25, MO28, MO20 and MO28.
Varietal improvement in jackfruit is limited to selection of high yielding and better quality genotypes. To initiate selection, standard characterization and identification of trees with desirable characteristics as attempted in this study is helpful.

## Selection of jackfruit accessions for tree breeding

Jackfruit tree is a multipurpose tree and a number of studies (Haq, 2003; Azad et al., 2007; APAARI, 2012) have been done to identify characters preferred by the farmers. In Bangladesh, the tree is grown primarily for fruits and timber as secondary product. While selecting accessions for tree breeding program, it is a good practice to take the farmers choice in to consideration. Farmer preferred traits were high yield, fruit quality, sweetness, early fruiting types and offseason types (Haq, 2006; Azad et al., 2007). The correlation analysis of the plant characters, clearly showed positive correlation with these preferred traits (Table 2).
Combining traits with positive influence and correlation (Table 2) with the genotype-variable through hierarchical clustering, highlighted the accessions with highest and lowest values (Table 3). Accession MO8 of cluster A had highest value for fruit weight, fruit length, rachis length, fruit rind weight and yield per tree, which have positive direct correlation with yield. MO 28 and MO24 had the lowest values for these traits. MO17 accession had the highest and MO24 had the lowest value for fruit diameter, a trait with positive correlation to yield (Table 3). Fruit diameter is a consumer demand specific trait, as at places higher diameter is in demand, while at other, small diameter fruits are preferred. MO12, MO1 and MO10 were the other accessions with highest value for farmers' desired traits.
Age of tree had negative correlation with number of flakes (Table 2), and MO15 with lowest hierarchical value and MO12 with highest value stood promising for this character.
Thus, five accessions with highest and seven with lowest value for desired traits could be preferred candidates for further genotypic studies and analysis to decide for the parents for hybridization program.

## Qualitative features-induced characterization of jackfruit accessions

Out of 36 qualitative characters, irrespective of clusters and accessions, no distinct variations were

Table 3. Accessions suitable for breeding to develop desirable traits.

| Desirable traits by farmers | Traits with positive influence and correlation | Genotype variable through hierarchical clustering |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Highest | Lowest |
| Yield | Fruit Weight | MO8 | MO28 |
|  | Fruit Length | MO8 | MO24 |
|  | Fruit diameter | MO17 | MO24 |
|  | Rachis length | MO8 | MO24 |
|  | Yield per tree | MO8 | MO28 |
| Yield per tree | Fruit weight | MO8 | MO28 |
|  | Fruit rind weight | MO8 | MO28 |
|  | Rachis length | MO8 | MO24 |
| Number of fruits per tree | Tree age | MO12 | MO15 |
|  | Tree height | MO12 | MO15 |
|  | Trunk height | MO10 | MO20 |
|  | Tree spread | MO12 | MO16 (NS) |
|  |  |  | MO25 (EW) |
|  | Yield per tree | MO8 | MO28 |
| Number of flakes | Fruit brix | MO1 | MO2 |
|  | Age of tree (negative) | MO12 | MO15 |

noticed in eight characters viz., propagating material, tree nature, leaf color, fruit bearing habits, pulp taste, seed surface pattern and disease and pest infestations types (Table 4-7). Variations to various extent was observed in remaining 28 characters. Tree vigor was categorized into three types viz., high, medium and low. However, in respect of high, medium and low tree vigor, cluster A had sum of 7,2 and 1 accessions, and cluster B had 3 and 2, except for absence of medium tree vigor (Table 4 and 5). On the other hand, sum of 1, 3 and 1 accessions were recorded in cluster C and cluster D denotes 1, 7 and 1 accession (Table 6 and 7). Majority of the accessions had rough trunk surface, while smooth surface was recorded in 10 accessions viz., MO4, MO13, MO11, Mo16, MO10, MO15, MO23, MO24, MO25 and MO27. But cluster $B$ had no smooth surface accessions (Table 5). In respect of crown shape, most of the accessions had broadly pyramidal shape (total of $7,4,1$ and 2 accessions in the cluster of $A, B, C$ and D, respectively), followed by pyramidal (total of $7,4,1$ and 2 accessions in the cluster of $A, B, C$ and $D$, respectively) and spherical (total of 1 and 3 accessions in the cluster of $A$ and $D$, respectively) shape. In case of tree growth habit, cluster A had total of 7 and 3 accessions of spreading and semi-erect habit, respectively, while sum of 3 and 2 accessions, respectively were recorded in cluster B (Table 4 and
5). Cluster $C$ had sum of 4 accessions of semi-erect and 1 accession of spreading nature tree, while total of 5, 2 and 1 accessions of spreading, semi-erect and erect nature tree, respectively were recorded in cluster D (Table 6 and 7).
In case of branching density, most of the accessions of cluster $A$ and $B$ had dense branching (Table 4 and 5), while cluster $C$ had low, and medium densities branching were recorded in cluster D (Table 6 and 7). Different branching pattern like verticillate (total of 5, 2,1 and 5 accessions were recorded in cluster of A, B, $C$ and $D$, respectively), horizontal (total of 3, 1, 1 and 2 accessions were recorded in cluster of $A, B, C$ and $D$, respectively) and erect (total of 2, 1 and 3 accessions were recorded in cluster of $A, B$ and $C$, respectively) were found in respect of different clusters accessions (Table 4-7). However, total of 1 accession of both opposite and irregular branching pattern were recorded in clusters B and D (Table 5 and 7).
With respect to leaf blade shape, cluster A had trees with oblanceolate, obovate, ovate, elliptic, oblong and orbicular shape (Table 4). Trees in cluster B had elliptic, obovate, oblanceolate, obcordate shape, while cluster C had obovate and elliptic and cluster D had elliptic, narrowly elliptic and lyrate (wavy) shape (Table 5-7). Cluster A included tree with apiculate, obtuse, round, short-apiculate and cuspidate leaflet
Table 4. Qualitative characterization of cluster A accessions from major jackfruit growing areas of Bangladesh.

| Characters | M01 | M04 | M05 | M06 | M08 | M012 | M013 | M014 | M017 | M018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Propagating material | Seed | Seed | Seed | Seed | Seed | Seed | Seed | Seed | Seed | Seed |
| Tree vigor | Medium | Low | High | Medium | High | High | High | High | High | High |
| Trunk surface | Rough | Smooth | Rough | Rough | Very Rough | Rough | Smooth | Rough | Rough | Rough |
| Crown shape/habit | Broadly Pyramidal | Pyramidal | Broadly Pyramidal | Broadly Pyramidal | Broadly Pyramidal | Broadly Pyramidal | Pyramidal | Broadly Pyramidal | Spherical | Broadly Pyramidal |
| Tree growth habit | Spreading | Semi erect | Spreading | Spreading | Spreading | Spreading | Semi erect | Spreading | Semi erect | Spreading |
| Tree nature | Evergreen | Evergreen | Evergreen | Evergreen | Evergreen | Evergreen | Evergreen | Evergreen | Evergreen | Evergreen |
| Branching density | Medium | Low | Dense | Dense | Dense | Dense | Dense | Dense | Dense | Dense |
| Branching pattern | Vericillate | Erect | Verticillate | Verricillate | Horizontal | Verticillate | Erect | Horizontal | Verticillate | Horizontal |
| Leafletleaf blade shape | Oblanceolate | Obovate, Oblanceolate | Ovate | Elliptic | Obovate | Elliptic, Oblong | Elliptic | Orbicular | Ellipic | Oblong |
| Leaflet apex | Apiculate | Obtuse, Round | Apiculate | Short Apiculate | Cuspidate | Apiculate, Obtuse | Apiculate | Short apiculate | Apiculate | Round |
| Leaf base shape | Cuneate | Cuneate | Rounded | Cuneate | Acuilateral | Acuilateral, acute | Cuneate | Rounded | Oblique | Round |
| Leaf color | Dark green | Dark green | Dark green | Dark green | Dark green | Dark green | Dark green | Dark green | Dark green | Dark green |
| Friting season | Early | Early | Mid | Mid | Early | Mid | Early | Late | Late | Early |
| Extent of fruit drop | Medium | Low | Low | Low | Medium | Low | Low | Low | Low | Low |
| Fruit bearing habit | Regular | Regular | Regular | Regular | Regular | Regular | Regular | Regular | Regular | Regular |
| Fruit bearing | Medium | Medium | High | High | Medium | High | Medium | High | Medium | High |
| Fruit bearing position | Trunk and secondary branch | Trunk, primary and secondary branch | Trunk, primary and secondary branch | Primary and secondary branch | Primary and secondary branch | Trunk, primary and secondary branch | Trunk, primary and secondary branch | Trunk, primary and secondary branch | Trunk, primary and secondary branch | Trunk, primary and secondary branch |
| Fruit shape | Ellipsoid | Ellipsoid | Spheroid | Ellipsoid | Irregular | Spheroid | Spheroid | Clavate | Ellipsoid | Clavate |
| Stalk attachment to fruit | Depressed | Depressed | Depressed | Depressed | Depressed | Depressed | Inflated | Depressed | Depressed | Depressed |
| Fruit rind color | Greenish yellow | Green | Reddish yellow | Greenish yellow | Greenish yellow | Yellow | Yellowish green | Coppery red | Greenish yellow | Greenish yellow |
| Fruit surface | Spiny | Spiny | Spiny | Spiny | Spiny | Spiny | Spiny | Spiny | Spiny | Spiny |
| Shape of spine | Sharp pointed | Sharp pointed | Sharp pointed | Sharp pointed | Intermediate | Intermediate | Intermediate | Sharp pointed | Intermediate | Intermediate |
| Spine density | Dense | Dense | Medium | Dense | Dense | Dense | Dense | Dense | Medium | Dense |
| Flake shape | Obovate, oblong | Obovate, oblong, irregular | Obovate, ellipsoid | Broad obovate, obovate, Oblong | Ellipsoid | Rectangular, Irregular, Ellipsoid | Oblate, rectangular, broad obovate | Oblate, obovate, Irregular | Obovate, Oblong with curved tip, Iregular, Ellipsoid | Obovate, Iregular |
| Flake texture | Soft | Soft | Intermediate | Hard | Soft | Soft | Soft | Soft | Hard | Hard |
| Pup taste | Sweet | Sweet | Sweet | Sweet | Sweet | Sweet | Sweet | Sweet | Sweet | Sweet |
| Pulp flavor | Intermediate | Weak | Weak | Weak | Strong | Strong | Strong | Strong | Intermediate | Weak |
| Pulp juiciness | Very Juicy | Very juicy | Juicy | Not Juicy | Juicy | Very juicy | Very Juicy | Very juicy | Not Juicy | Not juicy |
| Pulp (fresh flake) color | Light yellow | Light yellow | Light yellow | Deep yellow | Light yellow | Light yellow | Light yellow | Light yellow | Light Yellow | Light Creamy white |
| Vivipary | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent | Present | Absent | Present | Absent | Present | Absent |
| Shape of seed | Oblong, irregular, narrowly ovate | Irregular, Reniform, Ellipsoid | Reniform, ovate | Ovate, irregular, ellipsoid | lregular, Ellipsoid | Ellipsoid, irregular, Reniform | Obovate, Ellipsoid | Obovate, Ellipsoid, Reniform | Reniform, Irregular, Ovate | Reniform, Iregular |
| Seed surface pattem | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth |
| Seed coat color | Dull brown | Reddish brown | Reddish brown | Reddish brown | Reddish brown | Reddish brown | Brown | Dull brown | Reddish brown | Reddish brown |
| Adherence of seed coat to kernel | Easily separable | Easily separable | Easily separable | Easily separable | Easily separable | Intermediate | Intermediate | Intermediate | Easily separable | Easily separable |
| Disease infestation | Rotten of fruits, Bud fall at early stage | Rotten of fruits, Bud fall at early stage | Rotten of fruits, Bud fall at early stage | Rotten of fruits, Bud fall at early stage | Rotten of fruits, Bud fall at early stage | Rotten of fruits, Bud fall at early stage | Rotten of fruits, Bud fall at early stage | Rotten of fruits, Bud fall at early stage | Rotten of fruits, Bud fall at early stage | Rotten of fruits, Bud fall at early stage |
| Pest infestaion | Bark eating caterpillar, Jackfruit borer | Bark eating caterpillar, Jackfruit borer | Bark eating caterpillar, Jackfuit borer | Bark eating caterpillar, Jackfruit borer | Bark eating caterpillar, Jackfruit bore | Bark eating caterpillar, Jackfruit borer | Bark eating caterpillar, Jackfuit borer | Bark eating caterpillar, Jackfruit borer | Bark eating caterpillar, Jackfuit borer | Bark eating caterpillar, Jackfruit borer |

apex; while cluster $B$ had apiculate, obcordate and short-apiculate; cluster C and D had apiculate and short-apiculate shape with the exception of acute shape in cluster D (Table 4-7). On the other hand, accessions of cluster A had cuneate, rounded,
acuilateral, acute and oblique leaf base shape; while cluster B had cuneate and acuilateral shape; cluster C had cuneate, rounded, acuilateral and oblique shape; and cluster D had cuneate, rounded and acuilateral leaf base shape (Table 4-7).

Table 5. Qualitative characterization of cluster B accessions from major jackfruit growing areas of Bangladesh.

| Characters | MO3 | M07 | M09 | M019 | M021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Propagating material | Seed | Seed | Seed | Seed | Seed |
| Tree vigor | High | High | Low | Low | High |
| Trunk surface | Rough | Rough | Rough | Rough | Rough |
| Crown shape/habit | Broadly Pyramidal | Broadly Pyramidal | Broadly Pyramidal | Pyramidal | Broadly Pyramidal |
| Tree growth habit | Semi erect | Spreading | Spreading | Semi erect | Spreading |
| Tree nature | Evergreen | Evergreen | Evergreen | Evergreen | Evergreen |
| Branching density | Dense | Dense | Low | Medium | Dense |
| Branching pattern | Erect | Horizontal | Verticillate | Opposite | Verticillate |
| Leafletlleaf blade shape | Elliptic | Obovate | Oblanceolate | Elliptic, Obcordate | Elliptic |
| Leaflet apex | Apiculate | Short Apiculate | Short apiculate | Apiculate, Obcordate | Apiculate |
| Leaf base shape | Cuneate | Acuilateral | Cuneate | Acuilateral | Cuneate |
| Leaf color | Dark green | Dark green | Dark green | Dark green | Dark green |
| Fruiting season | Late | Late | Early | Mid | Late |
| Extent of fruit drop | Low | Medium | Low | Low | Low |
| Fruit bearing habit | Regular | Regular | Regular | Regular | Regular |
| Fruit bearing | Medium | Medium | Low | Medium | Low |
| Fruit bearing position | Primary and secondary branch | Primary and secondary branch | Trunk, primary and secondary branch | Primary and secondary branch | Trunk, primary and secondary branch |
| Fruit shape | Spheroid | High spheroid | Oblong | Obovate | Clavate |
| Stalk attachment to fruit | Depressed | Flattened | Depressed | Depressed | Depressed |
| Fruit rind color | Reddish yellow | Greenish yellow | Greenish yellow | Greenish yellow | Greenish yellow |
| Fruit surface | Spiny | Spiny | Spiny | Spiny | Spiny |
| Shape of spine | Intermediate | Intermediate | Intermediate | Sharp pointed | Intermediate |
| Spine density | Medium | Dense | Medium | Medium | Dense |
| Flake shape | Obovate, irregular, oblong with curbed tip | Obovate | Rectangular, oblate, irregular | Oblate, Broad obovate, irregular, rectangular | Obovate, Irregular, Crescent, ellipsoid |
| Flake texture | Hard | Intermediate | Soft | Intermediate | Soft |
| Pulp taste | Sweet | Sweet | Sweet | Sweet | Sweet |
| Pulp flavor | Weak | Intermediate | Strong | Strong | Strong |
| Pulp juiciness | Juicy | Juicy | Very juicy | Juicy | Juicy |
| Pulp (fresh flake) color | Deep yellow | Light yellow | Light yellow | Light yellow | Deep yellow |
| Vivipary | Present | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| Shape of seed | Irregular, ovate | Irregular, Oblong, Elongate | Broad ovate, irregular, ovate, Reniform | Broad Ovate | Ellipsoid, Reniform, spheroid |
| Seed surface pattern | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth |
| Seed coat color | Dull brown | Brown | Dull brown | Reddish brown | Brown |
| Adherence of seed coat to kernel | Easily separable | Easily separable | Intermediate | Easily separable | Intermediate |
| Disease infestation | Rotten of fruits, Bud fall at early stage | Rotten of fruits, Bud fall at early stage | Rotten of fruits, Bud fall at early stage | Rotten of fruits, Bud fall at early stage | Rotten of fruits, Bud fall at early stage |
| Pest infestation | Bark eating caterpillar, Jackfruit borer | Bark eating caterpillar, Jackfruit borer | Bark eating caterpillar, Jackfruit borer | Bark eating caterpillar, Jackfruit borer | Bark eating caterpillar, Jackfruit borer |

Fruiting seasons were categorized into early, mid and late. Cluster A had total of 5, 3 and 2 accessions in respect of early, mid and late fruiting seasons; while cluster B had 1, 1 and 3; cluster C had 1, 2 and 2; and cluster D had 5, 2 and 1 accessions, respectively (Table 4-7). Irrespective of clusters, most of the accessions had low (19) extent of fruit drop, followed by medium (9). In respect of fruit bearing, cluster A included tree with high to medium bearing capacity; while cluster B and C includes medium to low; and
cluster D includes low, medium and higher bearing capacity accessions (Table 4-7)
Regardless of clusters, majority of the accessions bear fruits on trunk and primary and secondary branches. Wide variations were noticed in different accessions in respect of fruit shape. Cluster A had trees with ellipsoid, spheroid, irregular and clavate fruit shape; whereas, cluster B had spheroid, oblong, obovate, and clavate shape; cluster C had ellipsoid, spheroid, ovate and clavate shape; and cluster D had

Table 6. Qualitative characterization of cluster C accessions from major jackfruit growing areas of Bangladesh.

| Characters | MO2 | M011 | M016 | MO20 | MO22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Propagating material | Seed | Seed | Seed | Seed | Seed |
| Tree vigor | Medium | Medium | Medium | Low | High |
| Trunk surface | Rough | Smooth | Smooth | Rough | Rough |
| Crown shape/ habit | Pyramidal | Pyramidal | Broadly Pyramidal | Pyramidal | Pyramidal |
| Tree growth habit | Semierect | Semierect | Spreading | Semi erect | Semi erect |
| Tree nature | Evergreen | Evergreen | Evergreen | Evergreen | Evergreen |
| Branching density | Medium | Low | Low | Low | Dense |
| Branching pattern | Erect | Erect | Horizontal | Erect | Verticillate |
| Leaflet/leaf blade shape | Obovate, Elliptic | Elliptic | Elliptic | Elliptic | Elliptic |
| Leafletapex | ShortApiculate | Short apiculate | Apiculate | Apiculate | Apiculate |
| Leafbase shape | Cuneate | Acuilateral | Cuneate | Rounded, Acuilateral | Oblique, rounded |
| Leafcolor | Dark green | Darkgreen | Dark green | Dark green | Dark green |
| Fruiting season | Early | Late | Late | Mid | Mid |
| Extent of fruit drop | Medium | Low | Low | Low | Low |
| Fruit bearing habit | Regular | Regular | Regular | Regular | Regular |
| Fruit bearing | Low | Low | Medium | Low | Low |
| Fruit bearing position | Primary branch | Trunk, primary and secondary branch | Primary and secondary branch | Secondary branch | Primary and secondary branch |
| Fruitshape | Clavate | Spherical | Ellipsoid | Ovate | Clavate |
| Stalk attachment to fruit | Depressed | Depressed | Flattened | Depressed | Inflated |
| Fruit rind color | Green | Yellow | Greenish yellow | Green | Reddish yellow |
| Fruit surface | Spiny | Spiny | Spiny | Spiny | Spiny |
| Shape of spine | Sharp pointed | Intermediate | Intermediate | Sharp pointed | Intermediate |
| Spine density | Dense | Dense | Sparse | Dense | Low |
| Flake shape | Oblate, Irregular, Ellipsoid | Rectangular, oblate, obovate, irregular | Obovate | Rectangular, Obovate | Spheroid, irregular |
| Flake texture | Soft | Hard | Hard | Intermediate | Soft |
| Pulp taste | Sweet | Sweet | Sweet | Sweet | Sweet |
| Pulp flavor | Strong | Weak | Very weak | Strong | Strong |
| Pulpjuiciness | Very Juicy | Notjuicy | Notjuicy | Very juicy | Very Juicy |
| Pulp (Fresh flake) color | Lightyellow | Light yellow | Deep yellow | Light yellow | Light Yellow |
| Vivipary | Present | Absent | Present | Absent | Absent |
| Shape of seed | Ovate, Irregular | Elongate, Irregular, Obovate | Oblong, Ovate, Obovate | Ellipsoid | Reniform, ellipsoid |
| Seed surface pattern | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth |
| Seed coat color | Brown | Dull brown | Brown | Light Brown | Light Brown |
| Adherence of seed coat to kernel | Easily separable | Easily separable | Easily separable | Easily separable | Intermediate |
| Disease infestation | Rotten of fruits, Bud fall at early stage | Rotten offruits, Bud fall at early stage | Rotten of fruits, Bud fall at early stage | Rotten of fruits, Bud fall at early stage | Rotten of fruits, Bud fall at early stage |
| Pestinfestation | Bark eating caterpillar, Jackfruit borer | Bark eating caterpillar, Jackfruit borer | Bark eating caterpillar, Jackfruit borer | Bark eating caterpillar, Jackfruit borer | Bark eating caterpillar, Jackfruit borer |

Table 7. Qualitative characterization of cluster $D$ accessions from major jackfruit growing areas of Bangladesh.

| Characters | M010 | M015 | MO23 | MO24 | MO25 | M026 | M027 | MO28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Propagating material | Seed | Seed | Seed | Seed | Seed | Seed | Seed | Seed |
| Tree vigor | Medium | Low | Medium | Medium | High | Medium | Medium | Medium |
| Trunk surface | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth | Rough | Smooth | Rough |
| Crown shape/ habit | Broadly Pyramidal | Broadly Pyramidal | Spherical | Spherical | Pyramidal | Spherical | Pyramidal | Pyramidal |
| Tree growth habit | Spreading | Spreading | Erect | Spreading | Semi-erect | Semi-erect | Spreading | Spreading |
| Tree nature | Evergreen | Evergreen | Evergreen | Evergreen | Evergreen | Evergreen | Evergreen | Evergreen |
| Branching density | Medium | Low | Sparse | Medium | Dense | Medium | Medium | Medium |
| Branching pattern | Horizontal | Horizontal | Verticillate | Verticillate | Verticillate | Verticillate | Irregular | Verticillate |
| Leafletleaf blade shape | Elliptic | Elliptic | Narrowly Elliptic | Narrowly elliptic | Lyrate (Wavy) | Elliptic | Elliptic | Elliptic |
| Leaflet apex | Acute | Apiculate | Short Apiculate | Short Apiculate | Apiculate | Apiculate | Apiculate | Short Apiculate |
| Leaf base shape | Cuneate | Cuneate | Aequilateral | Cuneate | Round | Aequilateral | Aequilateral | Round |
| Leaf color | Dark green | Dark green | Dark green | Dark green | Dark green | Dark green | Dark green | Dark green |
| Fruiting season | Late | Early | Mid | Early | Early | Mid | Early | Early |
| Extent of fruit drop | Low | Low | Low | Medium | Medium | Medium | Medium | Medium |
| Fruit bearing habit | Regular | Regular | Regular | Regular | Regular | Regular | Regular | Regular |
| Fruit bearing | Low | Low | High | Medium | Medium | Medium | Medium | Medium |
| Fruit bearing position | Primary and secondary branch | Primary and secondary branch | Main, Primary and secondary branch | Main trunk, Primary branch | Trunk, primary and secondary branch | Main and secondary branch | Main trunk, Primary branch | Main trunk, Primary branch |
| Fruit shape | Globose | Spheroid | Ellipsoid | Spheroid | Clavate | Ellipsoid | Ellipsoid | Ellipsoid |
| Stalk attachment to fruit | Depressed | Depressed | Flattened | Flattened | Flattened | Flattened | Depressed | Flattened |
| Fruit rind color | Greenish brown | Brownish Red | Reddish | Green | Green | Green | Green | Reddish yellow |
| Fruit surface | Spiny | Smooth | Smooth | Spiny | Spiny | Spiny | Spiny | Spiny |
| Shape of spine | Flat | Flat | Flat | Sharp pointed | Intermediated | Intermediate | Intermediated | Intermediated |
| Spine density | Low | Very low | Sparse | Dense | Dense | Sparse | Dense | Dense |
| Flake shape | Obovate, irregular, Twisted, ellipsoid | Rectangular, Spheroid, Oblong with curved tip | Spheroid, Obovate, irregular | Cordate, Rectangular, Obovate | Obovate, oblong with curved tip, Cordate, Rectangular, Twisted | Twisted, Rectangular, Broadly obovate | Rectangular, Twisted, Obovate | Spheroid, Obovate |
| Flake texture | Hard | Very soft | Soft | Intermediated | Intermediated | Intermediated | Soft | Soft |
| Pulp taste | Sweet | Sweet | Sweet | Sweet | Sweet | Sweet | Sweet | Sweet |
| Pupp flavor | Strong | Strong | Intermediate | Strong | Intermediate | Strong | strong | Strong |
| Pulp juiciness | Juicy | Very Juicy | Very Juicy | Not Juicy | Juicy | Juicy | Very Juicy | Very Juicy |
| Pulp (Fresh flake) color | Light yellow | Light yellow | Creamy white | Deep yellow | Yellow | Yellow | Yellow | Deep yellow |
| Vivipary | Present | Present | Absent | Present | Present | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| Shape of seed | Reniform, Ellipsoid | Irregular, Ellipsoid | Spherical | Irregular | Oblong, Reniform, Obovate, Irregular | Elongate, Oblong, Ellipsoid, Spheroid, | Reniform, <br> Obovate, Cordate, <br> Elongate, <br> Ellipsoid | Ellipsoid, Reniform, Ovate |
| Seed surface pattern | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth |
| Seed coat color | Reddish brown | Light brown | Off-white | Brown | Light brown | Off white | Off-white | Brown |
| Adherence of seed coat to kernel | Easily separable | Intermediate | Difficult to separable | Easily separable | Easily separable | Easily separable | Difficult to separable | Easily separable |
| Disease infestation | Rotten of fruits, Bud fall at early stage | Rotten of fruits, Bud fall at early stage | Rotten of fruits, Bud fall at early stage |  | Anthracnose | Anthracnose | Rotten of fruits, Bud fall at early stage | Anthracnose |
| Pest infestation | Bark eating caterpillar, Jackfruit borer | Bark eating caterpillar, Jackfruit borer | Bark eating caterpilla Jackfruit borer |  | Bark borer, shoot and fruit borer | Bark borer | Bark eating caterpillar, Jackfruit borer | Bark borer |

globose, spheroid, ellipsoid, and clavate fruit shapes (Table 4-7).
In all the clusters, most of the accessions had depressed type of stalk attachment to fruit, except for inflated in cluster $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{MO} 13)$ and flattened in cluster B, C and D (MO7, MO16, MO23, MO24, MO25, MO26 and MO28). Fruit rind color varied with respect to different clusters. Cluster A had fruits with greenish yellow, reddish yellow, yellowish green, yellow, yellowish red and coppery red colored fruit rind (Table 4). On the other hand, cluster $B$ had reddish and greenish-yellow colored rind; cluster C had reddish and greenish-yellow colored together with sole green and yellow colored rind; and cluster D had sole green, greenish brown, brownish red, sole reddish and reddish yellow colored fruit rind (Table 5-7). Irrespective of accessions of different clusters, almost all accessions had spiny fruit surface, except for smooth surface in the accessions of MO15 in cluster D (Table 7). Majority of the accessions of cluster A, B and $C$ had sharp pointed to intermediated shape of spine, while cluster D in addition to these two, also had flat shape spine. Regardless of clusters, most of the accessions had dense to medium spine density, except for low (MO22 and MO10) and very low (MO15) in clusters $C$ and $D$ (Table 6 and 7 ).
Wide range of variations were noticed in flake shape of different accessions of different clusters. Cluster A and $B$ had fruit with obovate, oblong, irregular, ellipsoid, broadly-obovate, rectangular, oblate and oblong with curved tip flake shapes, except for crescent shape in cluster B (MO21). On the other hand, accessions of cluster $C$ had fruit with obovate, irregular, rectangular, oblate, ellipsoid and spheroid shape and cluster D had obovate, irregular, rectangular, oblate, ellipsoid, spheroid, oblong with curved tip, twisted, cordate and broadly-obovate flake shape. It is interesting to note that almost all clusters had soft, intermediate and hard type flake texture fruit accessions. Unrelatedly to clusters, majority of the accessions had fruit with strong pulp flavor, followed by intermediate and weak. However, very weak pulp flavor was noticed in the accession of MO16 (Table6) in cluster C.
Pulp juiciness did not vary in respect to different accessions with the exception of not juicy in cluster A (MO6, MO17 and MO18), cluster C (MO11 and MO16) and in cluster D (MO24). Pulp i.e. fresh flake color was of light yellow to deep yellow in cluster $A, B$ and $C$ with the exception of light creamy white color in the accession of MO18 (cluster A). However, cluster D had fresh flake with sole yellow and creamy white color besides having light yellow to deep yellow color (Table 7). In case of vivipary, accessions of MO8,

MO13 and MO17 of cluster A; accession of MO3 of cluster B; accessions of MO2 and MO16 of cluster C; and accessions MO10, MO15, MO24 and MO25 of cluster D showed presence.
Most common shapes of seed present in almost all clusters were oblong, irregular, reniform, ovate, ellipsoid and obovate. However, additional shape includes in cluster A was narrowly-ovate; cluster B were elongate, broad-ovate, spheroid; cluster $C$ was elongate; and cluster D were cordate and elongate shaped seed (Table 4-7). Most of the accessions of all clusters had brown, dull brown, reddish brown and light brown colored seed, except for off-white color in the accessions MO23, MO26 and MO27 in cluster D (Table 4-7). Adherence of seed coat to kernel seemed to be easily separable in most of the accessions of all clusters, with exception of accessions MO23 and MO27 in cluster D, which seemed difficult to separate.

## 4. CONCLUSION

The present study clearly indicates that a wide range of morphological diversity had been found among the selected 28 accessions from the major jackfruit growing areas of Gazipur, Narsingdi and Bandarban divisions in Bangladesh. Varietal improvement in jackfruit is limited to selection of high yielding, better quality genotypes. To initiate selection, standard characterization and identification of trees with desirable characteristics as attempted in this study is helpful. In the present study, there were no distinct variations among accessions for 8 characters (propagating material, tree nature, leaf color, fruit bearing habits, pulp taste, seed surface pattern and disease and pest infestations types), but variations to different extent was recorded for remaining 28 characters. Regardless of hierarchical clusters, majority of the accessions bear fruits in trunk, primary and secondary branches; fruit shape varied widely; had spiny fruit surface except for accession MO15 in cluster D with smooth surface; and fruit with strong pulp flavor. Based on correlation value and genotype variable hierarchical clustering, five accessions (MO8, MO17, MO12, MO10 and MO1) with highest values were most promising for genetic studies, while seven accessions (MO 28, MO24, MO15, MO20, MO16, MO25 and MO2) had lowest value for the traits desired by the farmers. Furthermore, the complete documentation of such a diversity is crucial to better understand the variations in different accessions for successful crop breeding and domestication of jackfruit tree, especially for commercial usage. Such studies will be extremely important to harness the full potential of jackfruit through agroforestry.
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