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Abstract

The population of Bangladesh is increasing rapidly and in the contrary of this the cultivable land is decreasing per year. In Bangladesh, where most of the people depends on agriculture directly or indirectly, it is a matter of concern to meet the feed challenge of this huge population. Besides the soil health and the productivity level is not up to the mark, so we have to look after our soil and also environment. Carbon is the most vital component of the earth and the rate of organic carbon content in soil is drastically reduced in previous year. Decrease soil fertility and climate change make it difficult to reach sufficient production every year. As a result, food security can be low. Soil health degradation due to erosion and nutrient depletion is a common problem in many countries. Biochar can be a great option to improve the health condition of soil. Biochar is a charcoal which can be produced through pyrolysis process. It is seen that mixing of biochar with soil can bring the best way to sequestration of atmospheric carbon. It also helps to reduce carbon di oxide emission rate over time. Biochar is a soil amendment which can increase the OM in the soil and also has the potentiality to hold water in soil. It can agitate the microbes and also provide favorable environment for their growth and activities as well as the plant growth. Biochar can keep carbon content for 100 years in soil which is very much important for soil health. So we can improve our production as well as increase the carbon level. Moreover, biochar can be used as carbon sequester which is a remarkable property of biochar.
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Chapter I
Introduction

1.1 General Background
Bangladesh is a densely populated country. Now a day the cultivable land is decreasing significantly. Meeting the feed challenge scientist are working continuously and already produced many paths to overcome the situation. In spite of these, the health condition of our soil is becoming vulnerable gradually as a result of using chemical fertilizer frequently. Organic matter is one of the vital component of soil and the standard amount of OM is 5%, but it is a matter of great concern that the amount of OM in our soil is more or less 1% due to high relative humidity and temperature. Soil has become less fertile and less productive in terms of yield and health, this crucial problem must be placed at the top on priority list for developing the agricultural sector. Also the climate change is a current issue of the world and all the developed and developing country like ours are very much anxious for this. So we should be more careful and cordial to face the difficulties. To remove all the above problems “Biochar” can be better solution for our motherland. (Adeyemi and Idowu, 2017).

Biochar is a solid carbon product made by a universal process called “pyrolysis” which has the potentiality to enhance soil health and increase agricultural yield. It is a pyrolized organic material could be used as a soil amendment for carbon sequestration, raising of soil pH and water holding capacity, harboring of microbes, improvement of cation exchange and nutrient retention capacity (Lehmann et al, 2006; Lehmann, 2007; Smith, 2016; Adeyemi and Idowu, 2017). Biochar can sequester up to 2.2 billion tonnes of carbon every year by 2050 and this carbon will remain in soil for thousands of years (Adeyemi and Idowu, 2017). It is estimated that the use of biochar to the crop field can tie up carbon in soils which has the potential to reduce current global carbon emissions by 10% (Woofl et al, 2010).

1.2 Rationale of the study
In Bangladesh, small consideration has been given regarding soil carbon sequestration through organic and synthetic fertilizer application (Woofl et al, 2010). Biochar has multiple benefits including mitigating climate change by sequestration of carbon and its potential to enhance soil health. Biochar is also finding new applications as an animal feed, as a component of animal bedding and as a filter for affordable cleaning-up of industrial and waste waters. Biochar affects soil fertility in many ways, it can add nutrients by itself or make them more available for plant
uptake by enhance the decomposition of organic material- or, possibly, reduce decomposition rates of other organic material thereby increasing soil C concentration in the long run. Moreover, biochar has the large surface area that can upgrade the CEC of soil which is desirable to reduce nutrient leaching and prevent eutrophication. (Lehmann & Joseph, 2009).

Further, improved plant uptake of P, K and Ca was observed when applying biochar in the soil. Biochar incorporation may give higher yield with the same amount of synthetic fertilizers. Biochar change the soil pH significantly which can affect the nutrient uptake and availability (Lehmann & Joseph, 2009). The total nutrient concentration of biochar can be varied, thus the plant available nutrients can increase effectively. The availability of nutrient varies with the component that has been used to the production of biochar (Lehmann et al, 2003). previous studies shown that the essential nutrients are tightly held and became unavailable to plants (Lehmann & Joseph, 2009). Carbon is the major component in biochar.

The surface area can be colonized and small pores act as refugee site for microbes to avoid grazers. The variation in pore size of biochar promotes different habitats and thus microbe diversity (Lehmann & Joseph, 2009). 2.3.3 Properties in the soil Large surface area has many beneficial effects, e.g. on soil fertility by increased CEC, biological activity, water and air circling in the soil. Large surface area is enhanced by considerable proportion of pores and results in high CEC (Lehmann & Joseph, 2009), as well as enhanced biological activity (Steiner et al, 2008). However, some researchers have found contrary results showing a decrease in mi16 crobial biomass carbon after biochar addition (Dempster et al, 2010). Porosity can increase gradually if biochar contains high concentration of ash which eventually will leach from the pores (Lehmann & Joseph, 2009). Micropores adsorb small molecules as gases and common solvents whereas macropores are more important for root development and soil microbes. The proportion between micro- and macropores depend on the substrate and its properties, e.g. the dominating cell types in the plant material. The surface area of biochar is as big as or bigger than the surface area of clay. The properties of biochar resemble clay aggregate properties and therefore application of biochar could give soil conditions with a more clayish feature, providing some of the beneficial properties a clay soil has for plant growth (Lehmann & Joseph, 2009).

In this article we will point out the potentiality and utilization of biochar as well as the scope or field of use of biochar. Finally, we will understand the importance and usefulness of this soil amender called “Biochar.”
1.3 Objectives

➢ To examine the effect of biochar as a soil amendment,
➢ To investigate the effect of biochar for carbon sequestration, and
➢ To understand the importance of biochar in agriculture.
Chapter II

Materials and Methods

This seminar paper is exclusively a review paper. Therefore, all the information was collected from secondary sources with a view to prepare this paper. Various relevant books and journals, which were available in the library of Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University (BSMRAU) and BARI were used for the preparation of this paper. For collecting recent information internet browsing was also being practiced. Good suggestions, valuable information and kind consideration from my honorable major professor, course instructors and other resources personnel were taken to enrich this paper. After collecting necessary information, it has compiled and arranged chronologically for better understanding and clarification.
Chapter III

Results and discussion

Biochar is a solid carbon product made by a universal process called “pyrolysis” which has the potentiality to enhance soil health and increase agricultural yield. It is a pyrolyzed organic material having negative emission potential could be used as a soil amendment for carbon sequestration, raising of soil pH and water holding capacity, harboring of microbes, improvement of cation exchange and nutrient retention capacity (Yang et al, 2016) in the soil when it is applied for management (Fig 1). Biochar has multiple benefits including mitigating climate change by locking away carbon and its potential to enhance soils and increase agricultural yield. Biochar is also finding new applications as an animal feed, as a component of animal bedding and as a filter for affordable cleaning-up of industrial and waste waters.

(Source: Yang et al., 2016)

Fig 1: The benefits of biochar applied as a tool for soil fertility management.

3.1: Structural component of biochar

Biochar consist of two main components one is crystalline graphene sheet and another one is amorphous aromatic in shape (Fig 2). Inside into the aromatic rings Hydrogen(H), Oxygen(O), Nitrogen(N), Phosphorous(P) and Sulfur(S) are held together as heteroatoms. (Bourke et al, 2007). These heteroatoms contribute to the highly heterogeneous surface chemistry and reactivity of biochar.
3.2: Chemical composition of biochar

Biochar composition is highly complex, consist of both stable and labile elements (Sohi et al, 2009). Carbon, volatile matter, mineral matter (ash) and moisture are generally found as its vital components (Antal and Gronli, 2003). Table 1 represent their relative proportion ranges in biochar (Antal and Gronli, 2003; Brown, 2009).

Table 1: Relative proportion range of the four main components of biochar (weight percentage)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Proportion (w/w)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volatile matter (e.g. tars)</td>
<td>0-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>1-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash (mineral matter)</td>
<td>0.5-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed carbon</td>
<td>50-90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Brown, 2009)

The relative proportion of biochar parts determines the chemical and physical behavior and performance of biochar as a full (Brown, 2012), that successively determines its suitableness for a site specific application, likewise as transport and fate within the setting (Downie, 2009). Biochar being made from a large vary of feedstock’s beneath completely different transmutation conditions, its high carbon content and powerfully aromatic structure area unit
constant options (Sohi et al, 2009). in keeping with Sohi et al, (2009), these options mostly account for its chemical stability. Similarly, pH shows very little variability between biochars, and is often >7.

Table 2 shows total elemental C, N, C: N, P, K, available P (Pa) and mineral N and pH ranges of biochars from a variety of feedstock’s (wood, green wastes, crop residues, sewage sludge, litter, nut shells) and pyrolysis conditions (350-500°C) used in various studies (Brown, 2009). Total carbon content in biochar was found to range between 172 to 905 g/kg, Total N varied between 1.7 and 78.2 g/kg. Despite seemingly high, biochar total N content may not be necessarily beneficial to crops, since N is mostly present in an unavailable form (mineral N contents < 2 mg k-1; Chan and Xu, 2009).

Table 2: Summary of total elemental composition (C, N, C: N, P, K, available P and mineral N) and pH ranges and means of biochars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>C (g kg-1)</th>
<th>N (g kg-1)</th>
<th>N (NO3- +NH4+) (mg kg-1)</th>
<th>C:N</th>
<th>P (g kg-1)</th>
<th>Pa (g kg-1)</th>
<th>K (g kg-1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>6.2-9.6</td>
<td>172-905</td>
<td>1.7-78.2</td>
<td>0.0-2.0</td>
<td>7-500</td>
<td>0.2-73.0</td>
<td>0.015-11.6</td>
<td>1.0-58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Sohi et al, 2009)

3.3: Influencing factors of biochar function

Some essential factors are required to remind before the application of biochar in field. With the increase of soil pH by adding the biochar, the nutrient availability can be improved (Atkinson et al, 2010). Deenik et al, (2010) and Spokas et al, (2011) showed that biochar which formed at higher temperature, helps to N immobilization and microbial activity reduction. soil properties, especially soil texture and mineralogy affects the characteristics of biochar as amendment. Moreover, (Peake et al, 2014) indicate that biochar can affect field capacity and water availability in varied soil. Furthermore, the dose of biochar application different for various types of soils because of buffering capacity of soil (Butnan et al, 2015). They showed that the low application rate (1%) was recommended for the coarse-textured soil, which had low buffering capacity whereas, the higher rate (2%) of biochar was recommended for fine textured soil with higher buffering capacity. Besides, Jones et al, (2012) demonstrated that
biochar could not affect the growth of maize plant, but increased the growth and nutritional quality of the subsequent grass crop. Rooting depth is might be the factor behind this result. These aspects showed that biochar function was highly associated to high temperatures, soil and plant types, and application rates. It is difficult to understand the underlying influencing factors of biochar function for choosing the optimum biochar dose for different soil.

3.4: The potential of biochar as fertilizer

Natural issue and inorganic salt, for example, humic-like and fluvic-like substances and accessible N, P, and K, can fill in as compost and be acclimatized by plants and microorganisms. Lin et al. (2012) demonstrated that biochars created from Acacia saligna at 380 °C and sawdust at 450 °C contained humics (humic-like and fluvic-like materials) of 17.7 and 16.2 %, individually. Essentially, biochar has the ability to supplement accessibility and could discharge N and P (Mukherjee and Zimmerman 2013; Zheng et al, 2013). In this way, this information may show that biochar has incredible potential as accessible supplements. Albeit add up to N, P, and K in biochars may not necessarily mirror the real accessibility of these supplements to plants (Spokas et al, 2012), the accessible N, P, and K (e.g., alkali, nitrate, phosphate and potassium might be related with the measures of aggregate N, P, and K. For instance, the loss of aggregate N was contributing to the lessening of accessible N in higher temperatures biochars (Koutcheiko et al, 2007). Furthermore, the accessible K content fundamentally expanded with the in-wrinkle of aggregate K sum (Zheng et al, 2013). Numerous present examinations assessed supplements accessibility in biochars by directing here and now section draining tests or utilizing dynamic models. For example, Xu et al, (2011) announced that 15– 20 % of Ca, 10– 60 % of P, and around 2 % of N in mallee wood biochar was promptly leachable with refined water after 24 h. Nonetheless, it isn't sufficient to ascertain the long haul supplements accessibility of biochars. In the functional application, add up to N, P, and K in biochar could be utilized as an aberrant marker for choosing proper biochar.

3.5: Effect of biochar as soil amendment

Enhanced soil properties are a generally revealed advantage of correcting soils with biochar. Be that as it may, exploratory outcomes reliant on the biochar consequences for soil properties and conditions, as appeared in Table 3. Biochar from various feed stocks created at various conditions (i.e., pyrolysis temperature, term time, and sifter measure) were analyzed. Soil surface was gathered into three fundamental classes (fine, medium, coarse) in light of the absence of steady information on soil surface in the writing, for example, the molecule measure
appropriation and soil ripeness. Impacts of biochar on soil change and preparation were assessed by estimating the change in pH and soil natural carbon. Results demonstrated a general little yet factually critical advantage from biochar application on the change of soil properties (Jeffery et al, 2015; Biederman and Harpole 2013).

Table 3: Effects of Biochar Application on Soil Properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feedstock</th>
<th>Crop type</th>
<th>Soil texture</th>
<th>Biochar application rate (tn/ha)</th>
<th>Original pH</th>
<th>Final pH</th>
<th>Original SOC (gm/kg)</th>
<th>Final SOC (gm/kg)</th>
<th>Yield increasing (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ricehusk</td>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>Coarse</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ricehusk</td>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ricehusk</td>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>Fine</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ricestraw</td>
<td>Maize</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat straw</td>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>10-40</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26-37</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest wood</td>
<td>Bean</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cow manure</td>
<td>Maize</td>
<td>Coarse</td>
<td>10-20</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>5.6-7.6</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Tao et al, 2015)

Nonetheless, the outcomes for every investigation performed inside the meta-examination needed consistency; they secured a wide range (from 15% to over 100%). Biochar from various feedstocks, for example, horticultural buildups, wood, and fertilizer, all positively affected soil preparation (Steiner et al. 2010). Trial conditions influenced the alteration proficiency of biochar on soil properties. The productivity of the biochar application rehearses is typically site dependent and not pertinent to every single geographic zone and climatic conditions (Stavi and Lal 2013). In view of the examinations, pH expanded with the biochar correction, particularly in the long haul (Rondon et al, 2007; Zhang et al, 2010).

The expansion in pH in acidic soils had the impact of lightening the Al poisonous quality in ultisols and can enhance CEC with the goal that it builds the bioavailable P and base cations that are in charge of soil fruitfulness (Peng et al, 2011). Moreover, the permeable structure of biochar that held water and enhanced water adjust brought about better supplement accessibility. In any case, the term of this positive effect isn't known (Spokas et al, 2012). Biochar alterations likewise expanded the dirt natural carbon (SOC) and aggregate N (Zhang et al, 2010; Beck et al, 2011). The extent of N got from natural N obsession expanded fundamentally from half without biochar options to 72% with 90 g/kg biochar added to soil (Rondon et al, 2007). Elevated amounts of soil natural carbon amassing improved N
effectiveness, in this way offering a further chance to spare N manure. Biochar additionally enhanced the CEC of soils and the accessibility of different components, for example, P, K, Mg, Ca, Mo, and B (Rondon et al, 2007; Silber et al, 2010). Correspondingly, generous measures of K (8.5–10.2%) and S (20.2–28.3%) were recuperated with the revision of biochar from sewage ooze (Yao et al. 2010). Other than the lessening in release of aggregate N and P, soils containing biochar indicated expanded water maintenance and enhanced spillover water characteristics in light of the magnificent water take-up and CEC capacities of biochar (Beck et al. 2011). The dirt change abilities of biochar likewise brought about the change of the natural properties of the dirt’s. For the most part, biochar applications can enhance soil synthetic, natural, and physical properties. In any case, a significant number of the helper informational indexes (i.e., compost write and application rate) are fragmented, and the full scope of pertinent soil writes presently can’t seem to be researched. Other than this, the ecological and administration conditions in various locales and the dirt’s decided for examine contrasted fundamentally.

Moreover, the investigation time frames fluctuated extensively and most were just here and now examines constrained to times of under 1 year. To acquire an extensive consequence of the biochar impacts on soil properties, more factors ought to be viewed as, for example, handy task conditions, ecological and administration factors (Biederman and Harpole 2013), and all the longer haul studies ought to be led.

3.6: Adsorption of nutrients and application as slow-release fertilizer

Various examinations exhibited that biochar may sorb supplements. Nitrate sorption purpose of confinement of biochar made of bamboo at 900 °C was regarding one.2 mg g⁻¹, that was by and enormous above that of incited carbon (around zero.9 mg g⁻1) (Mizuta et al, 2004). Yao et al, (2012) incontestible that biochars may enough sorb nitrate by three.7 %, ammonia by fifteen.7 %, and phosphate by three.1 %. Regardless, the sorption furthest reaches of supplement are also vastly influenced by biochar's properties, as well as pH scale, surface acidic social affairs, and molecule exchange restrain. on these lines, it's imperative to know the hid instruments of supplement natural process. The components depiction the sorption furthest reaches of polar and apolar blends square measure attributable to surface assimilation, as well as hydrophobic holding (Zhang et al, 2013), π–π negatron promoter acceptor collaborations coming back to fruition attributable to joined sweet carbon structures, and weak arbitrary H-bonds (Conte et al, 2013). Divergently, biochar could not brazenly sorb the additional P.
Biochar affected P handiness by collaboration with different traditional and inorganic sections within the earth, as well as common issue or different base cations within the soil (Xu et al, 2014). In spite of the approach that there have been very little field trials centered on the examination of biochar as direct unharvest compost, varied analysis workplace contemplates inspected the supplements openness with biochar application. A clearer understanding of natural process and to boot action is crucial in lightweight of the approach that they're the strategies that near supplements mineralization, dominant soil course of action supplements obsession, enhancing supplements bioavailability. The touching variables, that impact supplements action, as an example, soil creates, feedstock's, shift conditions, and biochar application rates, square measure need to are thought-about.

Working at a profit soil, the everyday level of desorbed P was thirty, 37, 39, and forty one longing for the zero, 1, 5, and ten the troubles biochar application rates, severally (Xu et al. 2014). Moreover, variations of P action were displayed among boring soil (24.6 mg kg−1), darker soil (82.5 mg kg−1), and fluvo-aquic soil (27.7 mg kg−1) once the biochar application rates and P stacking were ten attempt to 240 mg L−1 (Xu et al. 2014). Ingá biochar created by direct shift at four hundred, 500, and 600 °C may unharvest P by thirty-two, 28, and sixty-nine mg kg−1, completely. to boot, they showed that Ingá biochar may desorb P by seventy-five mg kg−1 within the underlying advance, whereas Embaúba biochar may desorb P by 310 mg kg−1 and Lacre biochar may desorb P by 258 mg kg−1 (Morales et al. 2013). Moreover, Zhang et al. (2015) incontestable that action of NH4 + in biochars was additional important than incited biochars that extended from eighteen longing for biochar (made at 600 °C) at two.7 mg L−1 to thirty-one longing for biochar (made at 450 °C) at five.l mg L−1. action of NO3 − in started biochar treatment (4– five mg L−1) was above that of biochars (0– four mg L−1) (Zhang et al. 2015). These miracles are also motivated by the refinements of the soil pH scale and therefore the development or handiness of cations (Al3+, Fe3+, and Ca2+) that interface with supplements in biochars. Thusly, biochar has wonderful potential as direct unharvest waste.

With a specific final objective to raised administer soil supplements for many distinguished bioavailability, advance examination ought to base on the strategies which might check supplements handiness of desorbed supplements from biochar or soil, as an example, atom examination. 4.2 the maintenance of soil supplements by biochar Some asks regarding incontestable that combination of biochar into soil satisfactorily diminished N2O unharvest from totally different soils. as an example, Rondon et al, (2005) nitty gritty that fifty the troubles diminishment of N2O outpourings was found below soybean structures whereas
eighty and reduce of N2O spreads was found for grass systems. Likewise, biochars treatment may decrease N2O outpourings from 1768 to 45–699 µg N2O-N m−2 h−1 (Wang et al. 2013) and canopy N2O outpourings within the region of twenty-one.3 and 91.6 % (Stewart et al. 2012). In any case, there have been a handful of examinations purpose by purpose that no result or perhaps augmentation (Clough et al. 2010) was recognized on N2O outpourings when the employment of biochar. The support of supplements by biochar may be at risk of biochar shift temperature, soil composes, compost estimations, and soil water substance. Biochar's substance and physical properties square measure altogether captivated with transformation temperatures, and a brief time later the sorption of supplements would be littered with biochar application. The reduced N2O surges is attributable to the substance of polycyclic sweet-noticing hydrocarbons within the low-temperature biochars (300–four hundred °C), but not within the high-temperature biochars (>500 °C), whereas biochars sent at two hundred °C contained a by and enormous vast live of synthetic resin blends and strikingly diminished Nitrous oxide unfold. The potential elucidations for the consequences of shift temperature on supplements’ immobilization have typically supported dissimilarities of biochar's temperamental blends, surface a neighborhood, and consistence. Feedstock’s, biochar application rates, fertilizer, and soil composes ought to in like manner be thought-about as perceptible elements for ever-changing modification of supplements. Nelissen et al. (2014) purpose by purpose that N2O surge typically lessened by running from sixty to ninety attempt to NO transmission around reduced by going from thirty to ninety to take care of biochars treatment, that were sent from willow, pine, and maize.

3.7: Impact of biochar on nutrient

Biochar implemented at 0.7 GtCeq./year of negative emissions would add 21 Mt N /year, 7 Mt P /year and 49 Mt K /year to the soil. Equivalent values for 1.3 GtCeq. /year of negative emissions from biochar are 31, 13 and 91 Mt/ year of N, P and K, respectively (Fig 3).
3.8: Biochar as a carbon sequestration component

Although biochar carbon often has a higher recalcitrance than the carbon in the raw biomass, due in large to increased aromatic structure, the lifetime and stability of the carbon in soils is still uncertain and variable between biochars, soil types and environmental conditions, with estimates ranging from tens to thousands of years.

To make a more extended term carbon sink, biomass can be pyrolyzed to turn a bit of the carbon into a profoundly hard-headed charcoal. At the point when this charcoal is created for intentional soil revision, it is called biochar. Biochar added to soil is steady for 500 to 2000 years, making a carbon sink (Figure 4). Produced and saved by out of control fires, charcoal is as of now a vital part of most soils and at present makes up between 1-15% of the aggregate natural carbon in soil. Soil carbon capacity by biochar soil revision can add carbon to a great degree stable carbon repositories. This kind of soil administration was rehearsed by Amerindians in the pre-Columbian Amazon. Confirmation proposes that the carbon sequestration impact of Amerindian biochar expansion to soils has held on for no less than 500 years. A class of Amazonian soils called Dark Earths or "land preta" contain 70 times more carbon than the encompassing soils. These dirts in all probability come about because of pre-Columbian Amerindian transfer of charcoal and fish stays by soil entombment (Lehmann, 2007).
In Scenario A 373 Mt/yr of biochar could be produced, containing 283 Mt carbon. This equates to 1.04 Pg CO2/yr. Scenarios B and C see the CO2 equivalent content of the biochar carbon reduced to 0.5 Pg(Petagrams (Pg)) CO2/yr and 0.1 Pg(Petagrams (Pg)) CO2/yr respectively. Some of the biochar carbon would, however, be released back to the atmosphere as CO2 as the biochar degrades. The long term carbon storage potential is estimated as 0.55 Pg(Petagrams (Pg)) CO2/yr, 0.28 Pg(Petagrams (Pg)) CO2/yr, and 0.06 Pg(Petagrams (Pg)) CO2/yr for Scenarios A, B and C respectively. In an assessment of the global potential of biochar for climate change mitigation, (Woolf et al. 2010) estimated emissions reductions of 1.8 Pg(Petagrams (Pg)) CO2 Ce/yr (Table 4).

The estimate includes a number of other residues, including manures and purpose grown biomass, making direct comparison difficult. Rice husk, sugarcane bagasse and wheat straw residues combined currently have 93% of the total biochar production potential of the feedstocks assessed. Biochars from these three feedstocks would contain 92% of the total carbon content of all biochars produced in Scenario A. Global CO2 emissions from fossil fuel burning were around 36 billion tonnes (Petagrams (Pg)) in 2013. Using the CS potentials of the biochars produced here, Scenario A would therefore be able to sequester the carbon equivalent of 1.5% of annual carbon dioxide emissions from fossil fuel burning in 2013. Scenario B would sequester 0.8%, and Scenario C 0.2% of carbon dioxide emissions from fossil fuel burning in 2013. Sugarcane bagasse demonstrates the largest potential for long-term...
carbon storage, at 57% of the total, and sugarcane bagasse, wheat straw and rice husk residues combined contribute 92% of the total long term carbon storage potential.

Table 4: Theoretical potential for biochar production, carbon content of biochar, equivalent CO2 of the carbon in biochar, and long term carbon storage potential of biochars from the residues. Scenarios A, B and C represent the maximum residue availability scenario, 50% and 10% of the maximum availability respectively

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Biochar yield (Mt)</th>
<th>Biochar carbon (Mt)</th>
<th>CO2 eq of biochar carbon (Mt)</th>
<th>C remaining long-term (Mt)</th>
<th>CO2 eq of C stored long-term (Mt)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coconut husk</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coconut shell</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>olive pomace</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>palm kernel shell</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rice husk</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>251.8</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>85.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cotton stalk</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sugarcane bagasse</td>
<td>182.1</td>
<td>127.3</td>
<td>467.2</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>313.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>373.0</td>
<td>283.0</td>
<td>1038.6</td>
<td>150.2</td>
<td>551.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>186.5</td>
<td>141.5</td>
<td>519.3</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>275.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>103.9</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>55.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: winedatt, 2014)

3.8: Effects of biochar on soil fertility

The best recommendation that biochar might be helpful to soil ripeness originates from investigations of the Amazonian Dark Earth (ADE) soils known as land preta and land mulata which contain large amounts of dark carbon (Glaser 2001). ADEs are prized for their high supplement levels and high fruitfulness (Lehmann et al, 2003). The high cation trade limit (CEC) of ADEs contrasted with neighboring soils is because of its dark carbon content (Liang et al, 2006). The conspicuous inquiry at that point is in the case of adding dark carbon to different soils may have a comparative gainful impact on their ripeness. There is a long convention in Japan of utilizing charcoal as a dirt improver.

Nishio (1996) states "the possibility that the use of charcoal invigorates indigenous arbuscular mycorrhiza parasites in soil and in this way advances plant development is generally
outstanding in Japan, despite the fact that the genuine utilization of charcoal is constrained because of its high cost”. The connection between mycorrhizal organisms and charcoal might be essential in understanding the capability of charcoal to enhance fruitfulness. Nishio (1996) reports that charcoal was observed to be ineffectual at invigorating horse feed development when added to sanitized soil, yet that hay development was expanded by a factor of 1.7-1.8 when unsterilized soil containing local mycorrizal parasites was likewise included. Warnock et al, (2007) propose four conceivable components by which biochar may impact mycorrhizal organism’s plenitude. These are (in diminishing request of as of now accessible proof supporting them): "adjustment of soil physico-compound properties; aberrant impacts on mycorrhizae through consequences for other soil microorganisms; plant– organism flagging obstruction and detoxification of allelochemicals on biochar; and arrangement of refugia from parasitic slow eaters. Information on the impact of charcoal on edit yields is as yet simple – just a predetermined number of products developed on a set number of soils have been examined. The associations between trim, soil compose, nearby conditions, and biochar feedstock, generation strategy and application rate should be considered in much more detail before substantial scale arrangement of biochar as a dirt alteration can be mulled over. In any case, there is prove that at any rate for some product/soil blends, expansion of charcoal might be gainful.

In any case, some fascinating outcomes rise up out of the examination in regards to the impact of charcoal since every one of the 15 plots was copied; one set 19 getting NPK mineral preparation and the other without NPK. Steiner et al detailed a multiplying of maize grain yield on plots utilizing a mix of NPK manure with charcoal contrasted with utilization of NPK compost alone. While yield fell throughout four trimming cycles on the majority of the plots, the rate of decrease in yield was altogether lower on charcoal altered plots than on those which got just mineral compost. Likewise, the amount of supplements P, K, Ca, Mg stayed higher in charcoal changed plots regardless of bigger measures of these supplements having been expelled from the dirt as collected plant matter. Regardless, the declining yields recognize these charcoal altered plots from genuine land preta which is accounted for to keep up its ripeness over numerous editing cycles (Glaser 2001). Making of land preta in this manner plainly requires something beyond charcoal expansion. Up ’til now, endeavors to repeat land preta soils have been unsuccessful. As per Glaser (revealed in Casselman 2007), "the way to influencing agrichar to act like land preta lies in the natural conduct of the first Amazonian dull earths" a
distinction he ascribes to their age, proposing it might take 50 to 100 years for biochar revised soils to obtain the attributes of land pret.

3.9: Carbon sequestration by biochar

It is by and large the case that innovations proposed to diminish ozone depleting substance discharges will have a forthright cost as far as cash, vitality and carbon emanations that may be recovered after some time. For instance, the development of a breeze ranch may include huge carbon dioxide emanations to deliver concrete for the establishments. This forthright cost will we paid back after some time as power from the breeze cultivate counterbalances generation from non-renewable energy sources. A comparative rationale applies to biochar creation. The underlying pyrolysis process will deliver carbon dioxide. This underlying carbon cost will be recovered after some time as it balances the carbon dioxide (and conceivably methane) emanations that would have happened if the biomass had rather deteriorated or been oxidized by different means (Figure 5). How rapidly this ozone harming substance payback happens will rely on the rate at which the biomass would have discharged ozone harming substances were it not pyrolyse.

![CO2 Emission Rate Graph](image)

(Source: Woolf, 2008)

Figure 5: Carbon-di-oxide emission rate for decomposition/pyrolysis of 1000 mg of biomass.

For this situation, since we accepted that half of the carbon substance of the biomass was discharged amid pyrolysis, the carbon outflow earn back the original investment point happens at the half-existence of the biomass rot bend i.e. when rot procedures would likewise have
discharged a large portion of the first carbon content. Prior to this time, biochar creation has prompted an expansion instead of a decline in carbon dioxide emanations into the climate (Figure 6). It is very evident from this disentangled examination that the rate at which any biomass feedstock would have rotted had it not been pyrolysed is a basic factor in deciding the handiness of biochar generation in environmental change relief for the time being. On the off chance that we wish to accomplish 80% decrease in ozone depleting substance outflows by 2050, at that point we can't generally expect the pyrolysis of feedstock that have a normal half-life much past decadal timescales to help us in accomplishing these objectives.

(Source: Woolf, 2008)

Figure 6: Avoided carbon-di-oxide emission by biochar production.

Besides, the pyrolysis of feedstock that have fundamentally longer futures (for instance forests or plastics) would be exceptionally negative to accomplishing carbon dioxide discharge lessening focuses by mid-century. More point by point investigation will be required with a specific end goal to extensively assess the net ozone harming substance outflows as an element of time for various potential feedstock. Our careless investigation however unequivocally recommends that we should confine ourselves to the utilization of quick cycling carbon pools for the arrangement of biochar feedstock.
Chapter IV

Conclusion

- Biochar is great source of carbon and it has several properties by which biochar can improve the soil health and also increase the production level. It has the higher water holding capacity and microbes get their favorable environment when we add it with soil. It is seen that biochar also a great tool for carbon sequester. So the importance of this amender is high.

- Biochar also helps to store carbon in soil and it affect the root effectively. Biochar characteristics (e.g. chemical composition, surface chemistry, particle and pore size distribution), as well as physical and chemical stabilization mechanisms of biochar in soils, determine the effects of biochar on soil functions. However, the relative contribution of each of these factors has been assessed poorly, particularly under the influence of different climatic and soil conditions, as well as soil management and land use.

- As our land decreasing and population increasing we need to produce more food with keeping the soil health in a good condition. In this sense this amender can be a great asset for agriculture.
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