

## VARIABILITY AND ASSOCIATION OF GRAIN YIELD WITH VEGETATIVE AND GRAIN FILLING PERIOD IN SPRING WHEAT

N. C. D. Barma, M. R. Amin and Z. I. Sarker

*Wheat Research Centre  
Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute  
Nashipur, Dinajpur, Bangladesh*

### Abstract

Variability and interrelationship of characters were studied in fifteen wheat genotypes. Vegetative period, grain filling period and yield showed wide range of variation. Heritability estimates were high for vegetative period, grain filling period and maturity but it was low for yield. Days to maturity expressed low genotypic and phenotypic variability and genetic advance. Vegetative period and grain filling period having high heritability and appreciable genetic advance indicate the scope of improvement. The correlation of vegetative period was positive with days to maturity but it was negative with grain filling period and yield. Grain filling period had negative correlation with days to maturity and positive correlation with grain yield. Path coefficient analysis showed that vegetative period had high positive direct effect on yield while the direct effect of grain filling period and days to maturity of yield was negative. The findings imply that there should be compromise in choosing weight for the duration of vegetative and grain filling period at certain maturity for getting higher yield.

*Key words* : Vegetative period, Grain filling period, yield.

Wheat and other cereal crops have an approximately linear grain filling phase that begins after anthesis and lasts till physiological maturity. Several studies have dealt with the relationship between grain filling period and yield in various crop species. Several investigators have suggested that it is possible to increase yield in cereal crops through optimizing the duration of the vegetative and grain filling periods. Evans and Wardlaw (1976) reported the existence of variation in cereals

for

duration of the vegetative and grain filling periods. The present research was undertaken to study the variability of and relationship (if any) exists among the growth periods and yield in spring wheat.

Fifteen wheat genotypes were used for the study. The experiment was conducted at Wheat Research Centre, Dinajpur during 1990-91. A randomized complete block design with 3 replications was used. Each unit plot was 3m x 6 rows. Seeds were solid seeded at the rate of

11 gm in each row of 30cm apart on Nov 24, 1990. The crop was raised following recommended practices under irrigated condition. The characters vegetative period, days to maturity, grain-filling period and grain yield (g) from 2.4 m<sup>2</sup> from the middle of the plot were recorded.

The analyses of variance and covariance were performed on the data recorded. Correlations (Miller *et al.*, 1958), variability (Burton, 1952), heritability in broad sense (Johnson *et al.*, 1955) and genetic advance in per cent of mean at 5% selection intensity (Allard, 1960) were calculated.

The variation among the genotypes were highly significantly for all the characters studied. Relatively high magnitude of genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) for yield, vegetative period and grain filling period (Table 2) indicated that the genotypes under test had broad genetic base for these traits. The differences between genotypic and phenotypic variations were less for the vegetative, maturity and grain filling periods indicated that major portion of variation was due to genetic only.

Heritability estimates for the two growth periods were high but it was low for yield. Vegetative and grain filling periods with high heritability value also showed higher value of genetic advance. Islam (1976) and Das and Rahman (1984) got similar findings where they found that characters with combination of appreciable heritability and good amount of genetic advance are expected to give good response in selection.

The genotypic correlation of vegetative period with yield was negative but the phenotypic value was positive and insignificant. There was no significant correlation between days to maturity and yield. Significant positive correlation was found between grain filling period and yield both genotypically and phenotypically. Similar findings were reported by Nass and Reiser (1975), Razzaque *et al.* (1981) in wheat, Rasmusson *et al.* (1979) in barely and Daynard and Kannenberg (1976) in corn.

The nature of relationship is further explained by path coefficient analysis (Table 2). Vegetative period had positive direct effect

**Table 1.** Estimates of genetic parameters for vegetative period, grain filling period and grain yield in spring wheat.

| Characters                     | Mean±SE<br>and Range*   | Coefficient of variation |            | Heritability | Genetic<br>Advance in%<br>of mean |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|
|                                |                         | Genotypic                | Phenotypic |              |                                   |
| Vegetative<br>period (days)    | 70 ± 0.68<br>(62-83)    | 9.1                      | 9.28       | 96.90        | 18.53                             |
| Maturity<br>period (days)      | 112 ± 0.86<br>(106-120) | 2.80                     | 3.10       | 81.54        | 5.21                              |
| Grain filling<br>period (days) | 40 ± 0.93<br>(32-49)    | 9.94                     | 10.73      | 85.90        | 18.99                             |
| Grain yield<br>(g/plot)        | 506 ± 37.0<br>(300-700) | 10.97                    | 16.78      | 42.73        | 14.77                             |

\* Range in the parenthesis

**Table 2.** Partitioning of correlation (phenotypic) with grain yield into direct and indirect components

| Pathways of association                  | Direct effect path coefficient (P) | Indirect effect path coefficient (P x r) | Correlation coefficient (r) |
|--|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| <b>Yield vs Vegetative period</b>        |                                    |  |                             |
| Direct effect                            | 0.5457                             |  |                             |
| Indirect effect via days to maturity     |                                    | -0.6146                                  |                             |
| Indirect effect via grain-filling period |                                    | -0.3311                                  |                             |
| Total                                    |                                    |  | -0.40                       |
| <b>Yield vs days to maturity</b>         |                                    |  |                             |
| Indirect effect via vegetative period    | -0.7404                            | 0.4529                                   |                             |
| Indirect effect via grain filling period |                                    | 0.2381                                   |                             |
| Total                                    |                                    |  | -0.05                       |
| <b>Yield vs grain filling period</b>     |                                    |  |                             |
| Indirect effect via vegetative period    | -0.3720                            | 0.4857                                   |                             |
| Indirect effect via days to maturity     |                                    | 0.4739                                   |                             |
| Total                                    |                                    |  | 0.59                        |
| Residual effect : 0.7746                 |                                    |  |                             |

on yield but it possessed sufficient negative effect via maturity and grain filling period resulting negative correlation with yield. Maturity had the highest negative direct effect on yield but its positive indirect effect via vegetative period and grain filling period minimized the correlation between maturity and yield to be insignificant. Grain filling period showed negative direct effect on yield. Considerable positive indirect effect of grain filling period via vegetative period and maturity revealed that it is indirectly related to grain yield through these two characters.

It appeared from the results that there should be some compromise in choosing weight for vegetative period and grain filling period at certain maturity for getting higher yield.

### References

- Allard, R. W. 1960. Principles of plant breeding. John Wiley and sons, New York.
- Burton, G. W. 1952. Quantitative inheritance in grass. Proc. 6th Int. Grasses Cong. 1: 227-283.
- Das, M. K. and L. Rahman. 1984. Estimates of genotypic and phenotypic variability and genetic gain in common wheat. Bangladesh J. Agril. Res. 91 (1): 15-18.
- Daynard, T. B. and L. W. Kannenberg. 1976. Relationship between length of the actual and effective grain filling periods and the grain yield in corn. Can. J. Plant. Sci. 56: 237-242.

- Evans, L. R. and L. F. Wardlaw. 1976. Aspects of the comparative physiology of grain yield in cereals *Adv. Agron.* 28: 301-359.
- Islam, M. A. 1976. Genotypic and phenotypic variability in yield and other quantitative characters in common wheat. *Pak. J. Sci. Res.* 28: 41-44.
- Johnson, H. W., H. E. Robinson and R. E. Comstock. 1955. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. *Agron. J.* 47:314-318.
- Miller, P. A., J. C. Williams, H. F. Robinson and R. E. Comstock. 1958. Estimates of genotypic and environmental variance and covariances in upland cotton and their implication in selection. *Agron. J.* 50 : 126-131.
- Nass, H. G. and B. Reiser. 1975. Grain filling period and grain yield relationship in spring wheat. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 55 : 673-678.
- Razzaque, C.A., M. Rahman, M.A.K. Patwary and M. S. Rahman. 1981. Correlation and Path analysis for yield, yield components, days to flower, grain filling and maturity periods in bread wheat. *Bangladesh J. Botany* 10 (2): 100-107.